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Put simply, Situation Awareness is “knowing what is going on round you so you can figure out what to do” (Adam, 1993)

Research in military and civil aviation has identified that problems with situation awareness were the leading factor contributing to:
- Military aviation mishaps (Hartel, Smith & Prince, 1991)
- Accidents among major airlines (Endsley, 1995)

What is Situation Awareness

Level 1 SA = involves **perceiving** important information
- Failure to perceive important information leads to the formation of an **incorrect** picture of what is going on

Level 2 SA = involves **comprehending** the perceived information with regard to specific job tasks and goals
- Failure to accurately comprehend what is happening can lead to reasoning with an **incomplete or inaccurate picture** of what is actually happening

Level 3 SA = involves **projecting** where the situation is going
- Failure to accurately predict what will happen can lead to initiating **the wrong corrective actions**
Project Motivation

- There is increasingly more extensive use of Safety-Instrumented Systems (SISs) in continuous process manufacturing plants
  - Greater challenge of presenting status and interrelations of the SIS elements on a day-to-day basis, in light of daily maintenance and production demands

- In particular, how to best support an operator’s situation awareness of the SIS status and the risk profile in the light of maintenance overrides (MOs)
  - Daily decision-making activities for the operators in terms of
    » how many MOs are in
    » how many more MOs can be put in, both overall and in specific equipment areas
    » what is the coverage of the changing protective envelope
Project Motivation

- Compounded by the common situation wherein the SIS and Distributed Control System (DCS) platforms are not seamlessly integrated
  - Neither physically or via the Console Operator’s Human-Machine Interface (HMI) itself
  - Increases the complexity of simultaneously interacting with both systems in the event of a SIS trip or alarm condition
Project Objective

- Develop understanding of key challenges & requirements for the Console Operator’s HMI for both
  - DCS & SIS that impacts an operations team’s ability to
    - Operate within an expected safe envelope while faced with daily production and maintenance activities
    - Maintain situation awareness of the associated changing risk profiles
The study was conducted as a Practices Assessment of four ASM operating member sites
- 2 sites were located in North America
- 2 sites were located in the UK

Assessed
- Operator-reported challenges
- Operator-reported use requirements
- Current DCS and SIS HMI design practices

Structured Interview format with Operators and Engineers around defined Use Cases
Use Cases

- Operational Scenarios (based on modes of operation or operator activity) were the basis for operator requirements analysis
  - Start of Shift
  - Corrective Maintenance
  - System Testing
  - Respond to pre-trip alarm
  - Verify trip effects
  - Determine trip cause
  - Conduct process unit start-up
Artifacts Assessed

- Collected and assessed
  - DCS operating display examples for equipment with SIS applications
  - DCS HMI design for the operator console
    » Overview display use
    » Operating display practices
  - SIS HMI design for the operator console
  - Maintenance override policies, practices & procedures
  - Trip response policies, practices & procedures
  - Start-up & Permissive management polices, practices & procedures
HMI Interaction Requirements

Example Requirements definition

- **Use Case**: Respond to Pre-trip Alarm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator Task</th>
<th>Operator Activity</th>
<th>Interaction Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detect pre-trip active alarm</td>
<td>• Confirm detection of active pre-trip alarm</td>
<td>• Provide control to silence alarm audible and indication of alarm acknowledge status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identify alarm as SIS pre-trip alarm</td>
<td>• Provide indication of #SIS pre-trip alarms, their location and excursion direction (hi/lo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide indication in alarm description that parameter is pre-trip alarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate pre-trip alarm</td>
<td>• Determine current PV associated with parameter relative to alarm threshold</td>
<td>• Provide indication as to whether parameter is deviating significantly from other parameters in the voting logic (if appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Determine whether real process disturbance of instrumentation problem</td>
<td>• Provide indication of trip threshold for parameter and voting logic (if appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ...</td>
<td>• Provide indication of effects associated with the parameter in alarm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Overview of Requirements by Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Scenario</th>
<th>Operator Tasks</th>
<th>Task Activities</th>
<th>HMI Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start of Shift</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrective Maintenance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Testing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to Pre-trip Alarm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verify Trip Effects</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine Trip Cause</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Process unit Start-up</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The number of unique HMI requirements = 43
Three basic types of HMI displays were analyzed against the HMI requirements:

- DCS operating displays
- SIS ‘Logic’ diagrams
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Three basic types of HMI displays were analyze against the HMI requirements:
- DCS operating displays
- SIS ‘Logic’ diagrams
- SIS ‘Cause-and-Effect’ matrices

In terms of practices observed, the project identified:
- 32 design features for HMI DCS displays
- 80 design features for HMI SIS displays
- 3 design features for Console-mounted hardware

*Note:* More than one feature is typically required to satisfy the Interaction Requirements presented above.
Best Practices Observed

Best Practices observed for DCS HMI displays
- In “typical” Process Flow / Piping & Instrumentation diagram formats
  » SIS Elements included
    • **Isolation / Shutdown valves**
    • Indication that there were SIS measurements associated with a DCS measurement
    • Indication that a regulatory control valve received input from the SIS
    • Indication that the commanded state was not achieved (e.g., fail-to-close)

Best Practices observed for SIS HMI displays indicated
- **Pre-trip and Trip limit** values
- **Voting logic** (e.g., 1oo2, 2oo3)
- Dynamic voting **logic as result of a bypass** (e.g., 2oo3 → 1oo2)
- **Active Bypasses** & their impact on the potential safeguards
- **First Out indications** for Trip initiation
- **Command-disagree status** on Effects elements (e.g., fail to close, fail to start)
Best Practices Observed

- Best Practices observed for HMI Start-Up displays
  - Showing **start-up steps** in sequence
  - Showing **permissive status** for the respective step
  - Permitting **bypass activation**, if required for step

- Best Practices observed for Alarm System design
  - **Deviation alarms** between redundant SIS measurements
  - **Deviation alarms** between a DCS measurement and the associated SIS measurement(s)
  - **Pre-trip alarms** on DCS measurements for associated SIS measurements
  - Alarms for **command-disagree status** for SIS effects
Past & Current HMI Shortcomings

Integrated HMI System

- **An overview of where the process is** within the SIS envelope and movement towards an SIS boundary not clearly evident to operator
- **SIS instruments not easily identified** within DCS HMI system
- Lack of **HMI consistency** (SIS integration into DCS environment)
- Not showing **SIS startup up timers, trip limits and permissive logic** in DCS displays
- Not **providing first out capture** in the SIS
- Not **transferring first out capture** information to DCS
- Not **providing shutdown flags to DCS to position control valves** on an SIS trip
- **Poor HMI representation and navigation** for State transition Logic, Sequential function logic, Voting Logic
- **Poor Trending capabilities** for SIS inputs—either because those inputs are not historized or no standard trend link/access from SIS faceplates
  » e.g., Operator forced to enter whole path to trend parameters
Past & Current HMI Short-comings

✈ Alarm System design
- Not setting up deviation alarms between SIS and matching DCS measurements
- Poor alarm rationalization between DCS and SIS
  » Many redundant alarms on inputs and effects (e.g., DCS pre-trip, SIS pre-trip, trip, motor shutdown, …)
- Failure to generate command-disagree alarms to notify operator that a Shutdown or Trip has not been completed successfully
  » e.g., Shutdown Compressor Vent valve did not Open when commanded to Open
- Not transferring SIS Pre-Trip and Trip limits to the DCS

✈ Some Positives:
- Integration of SIS and DCS through the DCS HMI
- Transition diagrams of the SIS logic in DCS
- Access to voter blocks etc. via DCS
This research characterizes the value of identifying interaction requirements for supporting console operator use cases for different modes of operation to design HMIs that include SISs.

Moreover, an industry-typical HMI design format based on Cause-Effect matrices was demonstrated to typically address fewer of the requirements—only 37 of the 43—than a “Best Practice” Task-based layout designed explicitly for supporting operator decision-making and required actions.

- Emphasis needs to be added to non-Trip scenarios for the SIS lifecycle, such as maintenance, testing and start-up.
Task-Based Display Solution Required

- Need for continued improvement of supporting “Big Picture” Situation Awareness of where and how close to the safety envelopes operators are working, particularly in the context of maintenance overrides / bypasses
Questions & Discussion

Please ask questions or offer comments
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